(*a*) No.
(*b*) No.

Development of Mangalore Port as a Major Port.

*Q.—61. Sri A. KRISHNA SHETTY (Mangalore II).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they intend taking up the development of Mangalore Port as a major port;

(*b*) the stage at which the question is pending now;

(*c*) the total expenditure proposed to be incurred in this behalf?

A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Minister for Public Works and Transport).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Investigations for finalising the exact location of the Port site are in progress.

(*c*) Rs. 12.7 crores.

MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have to inform the House that I have received a message from the Governor, which is as follows:—

"To the Members of the Mysore Legislative Assembly,

I have received with great satisfaction the expression of your thanks for the speech with which the Governor opened the Budget Session, 1962, of both the Houses of the Legislature.

MANGALDAS PAKWASA,
28th April 1962."

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

(i) Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Minister for Development, Law and Labour).—I beg to lay.—

(*a*) Notification No. DRL 1 BTX 61, dated 18th January 1962 (the Mysore Taluk Boards (Manner of Levy and Collection of Local Cess) Rules, 1961;

(*b*) Notification No. DRL 16 RET 61, dated 8th December 1961 [Erratum to the amendments to the Mysore Taluk Boards (President and Vice-Preident) Election Rules];

(*c*) Notification No. LLH 45 RPA 60, dated 9th February 1961 [Mysore Taluk Boards Resolutions on General Administration of Taluk (Regulation) Rules, 1960];

as required under Section 246 of the Mysore Village Panchayats and Local Boards Act, 1959

(*d*) Notification No. HD 12 CCF 60, dated 9th March 1962 (Exemption of Court Fee Stamps on Applications for Refund of Stamps);

as required under Section 70(2) of the Mysore Court Fees and Suits Valuation Act, 1958.

(*e*) Notification No. PLM 168 LLE 61, dated 28th February 1962 [Amendment to the Industrial Disputes (Mysore) Rules, 1957];

as required under Section 38(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

(ii) Sri R. M. Patil (Minister for Home).—I beg to lay.—

(*a*) Notification No. HD 73 TMT 61, dated 13th March 1962 (Tax Concession on the 'Katcha' route from Koppal to Kyasalapur);

(*b*) Notification No. HD 41 (2) TMT 62, dated 3rd April 1962 (Exemption from payment of Road tax T.C.M. Vehicles);

(*c*) Notfication No. HD 14 TMT 62, dated 12th April 1962 (Tax Concession on the Katcha Route—Humanabad to Bidar);

as required under Section 16 (2) of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS.

Mysore Sales Tax(Amendment) Bill, 1962.

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Chief Minister).—I beg to introduce:

The Mysore Sales Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1962.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Bill is introduced.

Sri H. R. KESHAVA MURTHY (Gandasi).—Sir, this Bill cannot be introduced in terms of Rule 65(2) since the Speaker has not given the permission necessary.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is given.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—It does not apply to Government Bills.

Sri S.SHIVAPPA(Shravanabelagola).—On a point of procedure. Before then Chief Minister introduces this Bill, I want to bring this to the notice of the Speaker. While giving the agenda for the session, discussion of the Budget which was introduced in the House in the last session should have taken precedence over all the other subjects for the simple reason that as per rule 15 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business, the annual financial statement has been placed before this House. Now the House is seized of that subject. According to rule 160 "there shall be no discussion of the Budget on the day on which it is presented to the Assembly". That has been conceded, but according to rule 163:

> "(1) On a day or days to be appointed by the Speaker subsequent to the day on which the Budget is presented and for such time as the Speaker may allot for the purpose, the Assembly shall be at liberty to discuss the Budget..."

It is the privilege and the duty of the Speaker to allot the days for general discussion of the Budget. In the last session itself I raised the same question that the discussion of the Budget should get priority over the other subjects on the Agenda. The session was adjourned on the plea that they had no time and that a day would be fixed for taking up the discussion of the Budget. This session is to last for only 3 or 4 days. It is something like a mockery. I do not know why we have been called to sit for only three or four days when the Budget is there and the discussion of the same has to take place and some people asked me "What for you are going; is it for 'tamasha'?". When the session has been called, discussion of the Budget should have taken precedence over the other subjects or if there had been anything more urgent than that, I would have certainly agreed that we should take up that matter. I do not know whether it is due to incapacity on the part of the Leader of the House that he is not able to allow discussion of the Budget. The Leader of the House was saying that he was going to stay as Chief Minister for a very short period. Is this the reason why he is shirking his responsibility for presenting the Budget? Shall I take it that the Leader of the House is shirking his responsibility to present the Budget to this House so that some other leader may take his place and take the responsibility? Is this the reason or is there some other strong reason?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Leader of the House is a valiant person; he never shirks responsibility.

†Sri S. R. KANTHI.—It is too much for the Opposition leader to say that I am shirking my own responsibility. That I have never done. The right time has not come when the Budget should be discussed in this House because the Budget as passed is 'vote on account' for aperiod of four months. The time is still there. We are meeting now to transact some Bills which are of an importnt nature In due course, the Budget will come up for discussion and I can assure the Hon'ble Leader of the House that I will be responsible even at that time for whatever happens. I only say that there might be some changes because changes are going to take place; when such changes take place, they would be for the good of the whole State and in the interests of strong and efficient Government.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—The Chief Minister is reported to have said "After the return of Sree Rama, that is, Sri Nijalingappa, from the wilderness, I will hand over the leadership and become his disciple."

Sri S. R. KANTHI.–Sometimes I have said that I am Bharata and there Sree

Rama is coming. I referred to that of course in a jocular mood. I believe in a team and in a good team. I do not believe in myself being the Chief Minister for all time to come and I can assure the Hon'ble Members that I believe in a strong and efficient Government and I shall strive my best to have it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not concerned on the floor of the House as to what the individual opinion of the Chief Minister is with regard to efficiency or otherwise. I am only to regulate the conduct of the business. The Hon'ble Member took the opportunity of reading something from a piece of paper; I wanted to stop him, but I did not want to be so bad as that.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—But he is belittling the position of the Chief Minister of the State. He says 'I worship his feet', and all that.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I protest very strongly. I only say that Bharata can rule as effectively as Rama.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—What I am interested in is, when the present Finance Minister has placed the Budget before the House, I want that the discussion of the Budget should take place when he is the Finance Minister. But according to him, there may be a change. That is why I say that the very sanctity that is attached to the Financial Statement would be lost.

Mr. SPEAKER.—With regard to the point of order, I do not think there is much substance in it because the very rule provides for the discussion of the Budget on the day or days to be appointed. But it does not prohibit any discussion of other subjects which are of important nature and which Government might find it necessary to discuss and when the rules provide, I am going to allow it.

Mysore Agricultural Income Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1962.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I beg to introduce the Mysore Agricultural Income Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1962.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Mysore Agricultural Income Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1962 is introduced.

Mysore Lotteries (Control and Tax) and Prize Competitions (Tax) Bill, 1962

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I beg to introduce the Mysore Lotteries (Control and Tax) and Prize Competitions (Tax) Bill, 1962.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Mysore Lotteries (Control and Tax) and Prize Competitions (Tax) Bill, 1962 is introduced.

Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1962.

Sri S.R. KANTHI (Chief Minister).—I introduce the Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1962.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1962 is introduced.

Mysore Small Cause Courts Bill, 1962.

Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Home).—I beg to introduce the Mysore Small Cause Courts Bill, 1962.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Mysore Small Cause Courts Bill, 1962 is introduced.

CONDOLENCE REFERENCE

Re: Demise of Sir M. Visvesvaraya

†Sri S. R. KANTHI (Chief Minister).—Mr. Speaker, Sir, it is my melancholy duty to seek your permission to make a reference about the sad demise of Sir Mokshagundam Visvesvaraya who died on the 14th April at a ripe age of